

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,290	02/28/2002	Toshio Kazama	AB-1215 US	3057
7590 08/24/2004			EXAMINER	
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			TSUKERMAN, LARISA Z	
1762 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE SUITE 226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C.	A 95110		2833	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/070,290	KAZAMA, TOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Larisa Z Tsukerman	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>1 June 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the	application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a I 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	* * *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) onse to Arguments .				

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 2, 4, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by DiRenzo (3599326) in view of Onodera et al. (6133537).

In regard to claims 1, 9 and 10, DiRenzo discloses a conductive contact member 12 (of a contact probe) for establishing a temporary electric contact by being applied under a resilient force (see Fig.2, where pins 12 by comprising the curve portions provide a resilient characteristics) to an object to be contacted that includes solid solder, comprising a layer of highly electrically conductive material (silver sulfide) resistant to solder deposition (see Fig. 6 and Col. 1, lines 71-72 and Col. 3, lines 1-9, 22-24) wherein the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member (Col. 3, lines 22-25) may not be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted. However, DiRenzo lacks that the layer essentially consisting of 1) gold containing a small amount of silver/ or 2) an alloy of gold added with silver/ or 3) homogeneous mixture of gold added with silver, the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive

Art Unit: 2833

contact part of the conductive contact member (Col. 3, lines 22-25) may not be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted. Onodera et al. teach contact comprises of AuAg(Pd) alloy that has a high anti - adhesion property and a highly stable contact resistance (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and **for the same reason** to use AuAg(Pd) alloy, as taught by Onodera et al., in structure of DiRenzo.

In regard to claim 2, DiRenzo discloses the layer formed by plating (Col.3, lines 22-24)

In regard to claim 5, DiRenzo discloses the conductive contact member is selected

from the group consisting of a needle member having a pointed end (see Fig. 6).

In regard to claim 4, DiRenzo modified by Onodera et al. discloses most of the claimed invention except for that silver is added to gold by 0.01 to 8%.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add silver to gold in such range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

In regard to claim 6, DiRenzo modified by Onodera et al. discloses most of the claimed invention except for that the conductive member made of steel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the conductive member made of steel, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the

Art Unit: 2833

basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Claims 1, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akram et al. (6426642) in view of Onodera et al. (6133537).

Akram et al. discloses a conductive contact member of a contact probe 62 for establishing a temporary electric contact by being applied under a resilient force to an object to be contacted that includes solid solder, comprising a plated layer of highly electrically conductive material resistant to solder deposition 64 (see Fig. 22-24) and the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the conductive contact part of the conductive contact member may not be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted formed at least over a conductive contact part of the contact member. However, Akram et al. does not disclose that that the layer essentially consisting of 1) gold containing a small amount of silver/ or 2) an alloy of gold added with silver/ or 3) homogeneous mixture of gold added with silver, the layer being formed at least over a conductive contact part of the conductive contact member so that the conductive contact part of the conductive contact member (Col. 3, lines 22-25) may not be contaminated by deposition of solder from the object to be contacted. Onodera et al. teach contact comprises of AuAg(Pd) alloy that has a high anti - adhesion property and a highly stable contact resistance (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made and **for the same reason** to use AuAg(Pd) alloy, as taught by Onodera et al., in structure of Akram et al.

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiRenzo (3599326) in view of Onodera et al. (6133537), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Loranger et al. (5791914).

In regard to claim 7, DiRenzo as modified by Onodera et al. discloses most of the claimed invention except for the contact member is in a form of a compression coil spring. Loranger et al. teach the contact member is in a form of a compression coil spring 11 (see Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made further modify DiRenzo's invention by constructing a contact as taught by Loranger et al. in order to provide a better flexibility of contact or wiping function. Also, as a result of this modification the solder resistant layer is formed around a coil wire forming the coil spring.

In regard to claim 8, DiRenzo as modified by Onodera et al. and Loranger et al. discloses the contact member 11 is in a form of a compression coil spring having a contact part 29 in a form of closely wound turns of a coil wire (see Fig.5) and the solder resistant layer is formed over an outer surface of the closely wound turns.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, and 4-8 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2833

In response to Applicant's argument that Onodera discloses the use of gold/silver palladium alloy for a contact surface layer material and does not suggest a layer essentially consisting of gold and silver, Examiner disagrees.

The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. *In re Herz*, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976) (emphasis in original).

There is no evidence that the presence of palladium would materially affect the basic and novel characteristic of the claimed invention.

PPG Industries v. Guardian Industries, 156 F.3d 1351, 1354, 48 USPQ2d 1351, 1353-54 (Fed. Cir. 1998). See also Atlas Powder v. E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co., 750 F.2d 1569, 224 USPQ 409 (Fed. Cir. 1984); In re Janakirama-Rao, 317 F.2d 951, 137 USPQ 893 (CCPA 1963); Water Technologies Corp. vs. Calco, Ltd., 850 F.2d 660, 7 USPQ2d 1097 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2833

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larisa Z Tsukerman whose telephone number is (571)-272-2015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A Bradley can be reached on (571)-272-2800 ex. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L.T. August 19, 2004

ROSS GUSH

Page 7